

1 **EXPERT REPORT OF PROFESSOR PETER B. MOYLE, PH.D.**

2
3
4 I have been asked to provide my expert opinion on the potential effects of suction dredging on
5 fishes of the Klamath River and tributaries, on behalf of the plaintiffs in Karuk Tribe vs
6 California Department of Fish and Game (Superior Court of California, Alameda County,
7 RG0521197).

8
9 I. QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

10 I have been researching freshwater and anadromous fish in California since 1969. I was
11 appointed Professor of Fisheries Biology at the University of California at Davis in 1972, and
12 held the chair of the University's Department of Wildlife, Fish and Conservation Biology from
13 1982 to 1987. I have served as Associate Director of the Center for Integrated Watershed
14 Science and Management since 2002. My *curriculum vitae* is attached as Exhibit A.

15 The principal area of my research and expertise is the ecology and conservation of
16 freshwater and anadromous fishes, particularly in California. A significant portion of my
17 research has focused on regulated streams and the impacts of dams, diversions, and other factors
18 on fish populations in California, including the Central Valley. I have authored or co-authored
19 more than 160 publications, most of which concern freshwater and anadromous fishes. Among
20 my publications is *Inland Fishes of California* (Moyle 2002), the standard reference work on
21 California fishes, as well as four other books and monographs on fishes. A list of my
22 publications is attached as Exhibit B.

23 In 1993, I was named a Fellow of the California Academy of Sciences. I serve on the
24 editorial boards of several peer-reviewed journals, including *Environmental Biology of Fishes*,
25 *Biological Conservation*, and *Biological Invasions*. I am a member of the American Fisheries
26 Society, American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, Ecological Society of America,
27 Society for Conservation Biology, American Association for the Advancement of Science, and
28 American Institute of Biological Sciences. I also have received an Award of Excellence from the
29 Western Division of the American Fisheries Society (1991); recognition as a Distinguished

1 Fellow of the Gilbert Ichthyological Society (1993); the Outstanding Educator Award from the
2 American Fisheries Society (1995, with J. J. Cech); and recognition as Distinguished Ecologist
3 by Colorado State University (2001). I currently co-hold the President's Chair in Undergraduate
4 Education at UC Davis.

5 In 2003, I was one of the co-authors of the National Research Council's final report on
6 the causes of the decline and strategies for recovery of coho salmon and other fishes in the
7 Klamath River Basin (National Research Council 2003). I also was a member of the Science
8 Board of the CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program and its predecessor (1998-2005), led the
9 USFWS Delta Native Fishes Recovery Team (1993-1995), and served as a member of the USFS
10 Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project Team (1994-1996). I currently serve as a member of
11 interagency Fish Screen Evaluation Committee.

12 I have previously served as an expert witness or consultant on salmon and other fishes in
13 California in a number of venues. I was retained as a consultant by the City and County of San
14 Francisco in a re-licensing proceeding before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
15 (FERC), and served as an expert witness for the Putah Creek Council, in the *Putah Creek Water*
16 *Cases*, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number 2565 (Sacramento Superior Court). I
17 also have testified before the State Water Resources Control Board and a congressional
18 committee. In 2000 I was deposed as an expert witness on coho salmon in the case
19 *Environmental Protection & Information Center. Andrea Tuttle*, Case No. 00-0713-SC (N.D.
20 Cal). In March, 2004, I was deposed as an expert witness on the 2002 Klamath River salmon kill
21 in the case *Pacific Coast Federation of Fisherman's Associations, Yurok Tribe, Hoopa Valley*
22 *Tribe v. Bureau of Reclamation, Klamath Water Users, No.C 02-020006 SBA* (N.D.California). I
23 am currently serving as an expert witness for the Natural Resources Defense Council on NRDC
24 vs Rodgers (E.D. Cal. No. Civ. 88-1658 LKK) on restoring flows to the San Joaquin River.

25 I have also been called on to provide expertise on salmon and native fish restoration in
26 many other venues and proceedings. For example, I recently presented expert testimony
27 regarding Section 5937 in proceedings before the California State Water Resources Control
28 Board involving the Santa Ynez River (*in re Santa Ynez River Public Trust Proceedings on U.S.*
29 *Bureau of Reclamation Water Rights Permits, Applications 11331 and 11332*, 2003).

1 In relation to the suction dredging and fishes of the Klamath River, I have the following
2 background. I have been keeping track of the status of Klamath River fishes ever since I began
3 writing the standard reference work on California fishes, *Inland Fishes of California*, first
4 published in 1976. In the revised edition, published in 2002, I extensively reviewed the biology
5 and status of fishes of the Klamath Basin. I was responsible for the analyses that led to various
6 species being listed as Species of Special Concern by the California Department of Fish and
7 Game (Moyle et al. 1994) and with two postdoctoral scholars in my laboratory, produced the
8 first major peer-reviewed review of the status of coho salmon in California (Brown et al.1994).
9 As the result of my expertise, I was appointed a member of the National Research Council's
10 committee to review the causes of fish declines in the Klamath Basin (NRC 2003). In the
11 summer of 2002, Dr. Jeffrey Mount and I brought a team of advanced undergraduates and
12 graduate students into the Scott River basin to conduct field investigations on the status of coho
13 salmon in Scott River tributaries. I am aware of the impacts of suction dredging primarily
14 through the work of Dr. Bret Harvey, who conducted his first studies under me while a graduate
15 student in my laboratory. Subsequently, I reviewed several drafts of the best (really *only*) review
16 paper on suction dredging impacts in California written by Dr. Harvey (Harvey and Lisle 1998).
17 I have also observed suction dredges at work numerous times while conducting field work.

18

19 II. PREVIOUS TESTIMONY

20 See qualifications section (last three paragraphs).

21

22 III. COMPENSATION

23 I am not being paid and have not been paid for my work as an expert witness for this legal
24 proceeding or for other similar matters relating to the Klamath River.

25

26 IV. SCOPE OF ASSIGNMENT

27 I was asked by the Plaintiffs to investigate and provide expert opinion, as a fisheries biologist,
28 on the following questions:

1 (1) What are the likely effects of suction dredging on anadromous fishes, especially coho
2 salmon, in the Klamath River and its tributaries?

3 (2) What tributaries and thermal refugia contain fish that would be particularly at risk from
4 suction dredging?

5

6 V. MATERIALS CONSIDERED IN FORMULATING THIS EXPERT REPORT

7 In formulating the opinions stated in this expert report, I have relied on information I
8 accumulated working on salmon and other California fishes since 1969. Much of this material is
9 summarized in my 2002 book, *Inland Fishes of California* (University of California Press, 502
10 pp) and in my 160+ peer-reviewed publications. More specifically, I considered each of the
11 publications cited in this report and materials cited in my publications on the Klamath River.
12 Particularly important was the research I conducted on the status of Klamath River fishes on
13 behalf of the NRC. Thus the opinions that I express in this report are based on my 35 years of
14 experience and publications and on periodicals, texts, research, and historical and other materials
15 that other experts in my field would consider reliable.

16

17 VI. SUMMARY OF EXPERT OPINIONS

18 **Opinion 1:** *All* anadromous fishes in the Klamath basin should be considered to be in
19 decline and ultimately threatened with extirpation as wild populations because of the long history
20 of decline and the multiple threats to river system. Suction dredging through a combination of
21 disturbance of resident fish, alteration of substrates, and indirect effects of heavy human use of
22 small areas, especially thermal refugia, will further contribute to the decline of the fishes. I agree
23 with thrust of Harvey and Lisle (1998), that it should be assumed that dredging is harming
24 declining species unless it can be proven otherwise.

25 **Opinion 2.** Suction dredging should be banned from following areas, unless it can be
26 proven using peer-reviewed scientific studies that the dredging has no short term or cumulative
27 effects: All tributaries to the Klamath River, 500 m above and below cool-water refuge areas
28 (stream mouths) on the mainstem Klamath River, Klamath River from Trinity River confluence

1 to Green Riffle, Canyon Creek and all other Scott River tributaries, and Salmon River
2 including the north and south forks and all tributaries.

3

4 VII. WHAT ARE THE LIKELY EFFECTS OF SUCTION DREDGING ON ANADROMOUS
5 FISHES, ESPECIALLY COHO SALMON, IN THE KLAMATH RIVER AND ITS
6 TRIBUTARIES?

7 The general effects of suction dredging on fish are well described in Harvey (1986) and Harvey
8 and Lisle (1998) and so will be described only briefly here. The effects vary according to a
9 variety of factors including size of stream, fish species present, season of dredging, and
10 frequency and intensity of dredging. The key is that suction dredging represents a chronic
11 unnatural disturbance of natural habitats that are already likely to be stressed by other factors and
12 can therefore have a negative impact on fishes that use the reach being dredged. Direct effects
13 include entrainment of invertebrates and small fish in the dredges, altering of the habitat that
14 supports the food supply of fishes, and changing channel structure in ways that make it less
15 favorable for fish (usually by making it less stable and complex). An area of particular concern
16 in the Klamath River and its tributaries is the creation of piles of dredge tailings that are
17 attractive for the spawning of salmonids but that are so unstable they are likely to scour under
18 high flows, greatly reducing survival of the embryos placed within the gravel.

19 A more immediate effect is the impact of chronic disturbance of the fishes, which can
20 change their behavior and cause them to move to less favorable conditions. I am particularly
21 concerned in this regard with dredging in or near thermal refugia of juvenile salmonids. As
22 discussed in the NRC (2003) report and references therein, the Klamath River and some of its
23 tributaries can reach temperatures in excess of 65-70°F during the day in late summer. Such
24 temperatures are very stressful or even lethal for many salmonids, so the fish seek out cooler
25 areas, where small tributaries flow into the river or there is upwelling of ground water. Juvenile
26 coho salmon, Chinook salmon, and steelhead will often be packed into these areas during the
27 day. This past August, I spent a day with Dr. Michael Deas, who was documenting the nature of
28 a thermal refuge created by the inflow of single creek into the Klamath River. When I swam
29 through the refuge area with a mask and snorkel I was impressed with the concentrations of fish

1 in the area (and the lack of them in the main river) and how much even a minor disturbance of
2 the habitat would reduce the ability of the area to support fish.

3 Adult salmon and steelhead can also be disturbed by the intense dredging activities. I am
4 particularly concerned with spring-run Chinook salmon, a species with which I have worked
5 closely in the Sacramento River drainage. Adult spring-run Chinook spend the summer in pools
6 in rivers, especially the Salmon River (and its forks) and Wooley Creek. They have to survive
7 the summer without feeding, using reserves of fats and oils they bring up from the ocean.
8 Chronic disturbance of the type created by dredging and dredgers can increase stress on these
9 fish and has the potential to reduce their over-summer survival. An often overlooked impact of
10 dredging is that the people involved often live on or close to the stream in remote areas for weeks
11 at a time, where they not only dredge, but swim, bathe, and fish (sometimes illegally). Such
12 activity can cause spring-run Chinook to use up precious energy reserves if they have to move to
13 less favorable areas or swim about avoiding people.

14 It is important to note that the Klamath River and its tributaries support the highest
15 diversity of anadromous fishes of any river in California including: coho salmon, chum salmon,
16 multiple runs of Chinook salmon, coastal cutthroat trout, multiple runs of steelhead, eulachon,
17 green sturgeon, white sturgeon, Pacific lamprey, and river lamprey. This is the reason, of course,
18 why the river also supported a rich and diverse fishery by the native peoples who live along the
19 river. Today virtually all the species are in decline or threatened with declines from multiple
20 factors (see NRC 2003). Therefore, in my professional opinion, suction dredging should only be
21 allowed in areas where it can be demonstrated there will no immediate or cumulative impact on
22 the anadromous fishes. It should be assumed there is harm, unless it can be proven otherwise.

23 One reason for my taking this conservative position, is that we simply do not know the
24 effects of dredging on many species, especially when the intensity of dredging is increasing. For
25 example, the larvae (ammocoetes) of Pacific and river lamprey live in soft materials along the
26 stream edge or in slow-moving sections of stream. Dredging of areas where ammocoetes are
27 abundant will push them into the water column where they can be readily consumed by
28 predators, contributing further to the likely declines of the species. Even for salmonids, our
29 information, with the exception of a few studies such as that of Harvey (1989), is largely

1 anecdotal or in non-peer reviewed reports (see, for example, the bibliography of DFG 1994)..
2 Studies are also largely confined to looking at immediate effects of single dredges and they do
3 not examine the cumulative or long-term effects of multiple dredges and activities associated
4 with the dredges. Indeed little has changed since DFG (1994, p. 71) listed the need for additional
5 studies on practically every important aspect of the environmental impacts of dredging. Harvey
6 and Lisle (1998) present a strategy for acquiring much of the needed information.

7

8 VII. WHAT TRIBUTARIES AND THERMAL REFUGIA CONTAIN FISH THAT WOULD 9 BE PARTICULARLY AT RISK FROM SUCTION DREDGING?

10 The NRC (2003) report emphasized two important considerations for the recovery of Klamath
11 basin fishes that are especially relevant here: (1) cold water refuges are key to the persistence of
12 many species, especially coho salmon and (2) the entire array of anadromous fishes (i.e., the
13 Tribal Trust Species) need large scale and pro-active measures to assure recovery. Suction
14 dredging is one more insult to these fishes that is likely to hurt their chances for recovery. In
15 particular, coho salmon, spring-run Chinook salmon, and summer (spring) steelhead are
16 particularly vulnerable to the immediate effects of dredging and have been reduced to low
17 numbers in the Klamath Basin so need special protection.

18 In my professional opinion, the following waters should be Class A (no dredging
19 permitted) waters beyond what is already classified as such:

- 20 1. All Klamath River cold-water tributaries, including the Shasta (already class A) River. This is
21 to protect coho salmon in particular.
- 22 2. The Klamath River below Iron Gate at the mouths of all tributaries for a minimum of 500
23 meters (1500 ft) upstream of the mouths and 500 meters downstream of detectable coldwater
24 influence. Most of the smaller tributaries of the Klamath River are substantially colder than the
25 main river and the short sections along the edges that are influenced by the creeks are important
26 summer refuges for juvenile Chinook and coho salmon, as well as steelhead. For example in
27 2001, USFWS (unpublished data) found juvenile salmonids using refuge areas at the mouths of
28 the following creeks: Aikins, Beaver, Blue, Bluff, Bogus, Boise, Cade, Camp, Cappell, China,
29 Clear, Coon, Dillon, Elk, Elliott, Fort Goff, Grider, Halverson, Hopkins, Horse, Independence,

1 Indian, Irving, Little Grider, McGarvey, Miners, Oak Flat, Pearch, Pecwan, Perch, Pine,
2 Portuguese, Red Cap, Roach, Rock, Rogers, Roseland, Sandy Bar, Seiad, Slate, Stanshaw,
3 Swillup, Thompson, Ti, Tinkman, Tully, Uksnom, Ullthorne, Ukanom, Upsanddown, and
4 Walker. The mouths of the Scott, Shasta, and Salmon rivers should also be protected.
5 3. Klamath River from Trinity River confluence to Green Riffle, to reduce potential impacts on
6 green sturgeon spawning and rearing.
7 4. Canyon Creek and all other Scott River tributaries. These streams contain cold water habitats
8 essential for the rearing of juvenile coho salmon.
9 5. Salmon River including the north and south forks and all tributaries. This designation is to
10 protect the entire suite of Klamath Basin anadromous fishes, especially coho salmon in the
11 tributaries, spring-run Chinook and summer steelhead in the two forks of the Salmon River, and
12 green sturgeon and lamprey in the mainstem salmon.

13

14 REFERENCES

- 15 Brown, L. R., P. B. Moyle, and R. M. Yoshiyama. 1994. Status of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus*
16 *kisutch*) in California. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 14: 237-261.
- 17 Department of Fish and Game, California. 1994. Final environmental impact report: adoption of
18 regulations for suction dredge mining. DFG, Sacramento. 173 pp.
- 19 Harvey, B. C. 1986. Effects of suction gold dredging on fish and invertebrates in two California
20 streams, *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 6:401-409.
- 21 Harvey, B. C. and T. E. Lisle. 1998. Effects of suction dredging on streams: a review and an
22 evaluation strategy. *Fisheries* 23(6):8-17.
- 23 Moyle, P. B. 2002. *Inland Fishes of California*. Revised and Expanded. Berkeley: University of
24 California Press. 502 pp.
- 25 Moyle, P. B., R. M. Yoshiyama, J. E. Williams, and E. D. Wikramanayake. 1995. Fish
26 species of special concern of California. California Department of Fish and Game,
27 Sacramento, California. 2nd ed. 272 pp.
- 28
- 29 National Research Council 2003. *Endangered and Threatened Fishes in the Klamath River*
30 *Basin: Causes of Decline and Strategies for Recovery*. Committee on Endangered and
31 Threatened Fishes in the Klamath River Basin. Board on Environmental Studies and
32 Toxicology.. National Academy Press.

33

1
2
3

Peter B. Moyle Date

1 EXHIBIT A: CURRICULUM VITAE

2 **PETER BRIGGS MOYLE**

3 **Department of Wildlife, Fish, and Conservation Biology**

4 **And**

5 **Center for Integrated Watershed Science and Management**

6 **University of California, Davis**

7 **1 Shields Avenue, Davis Ca 95616**

8 **pmmoyle@ucdavis.edu**

9 **530-752-6355, fax: 530-752-4154**

10
11 **EDUCATION**

12	1964	University of Minnesota	B.A.	-	Zoology
13	1966	Cornell University	M.S.	-	Conservation
14	1969	University of Minnesota	Ph.D.	-	Zoology

15
16 **UNIVERSITY POSITIONS**

17	1969 - 1972	Assistant Professor, Biology, California State University, Fresno, CA
18	1972 – present	Assistant to Full Professor, University of California, Davis, California
19	1982 - 1987	Chair, Department of Wildlife & Fisheries Biology, University of
20		California, Davis, California
21	2002-present	Associate Director, Center for Integrated Watershed Science and
22		Management UCD

23
24 **PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES/ORGANIZATIONS**

25 American Fisheries Society (national & local chapters); American Society of Ichthyologists and
26 Herpetologists; Ecological Society of America; Desert Fishes Council; Society for Conservation
27 Biology; AAAS; AIBS

1 **AWARDS**

2 Award of Excellence, Western Division, American Fisheries Society (1991); Haig-Brown
3 Award, California Trout (1993); Distinguished Fellow, Gilbert Ichthyological Society (1993);
4 Fellow, California Academy of Sciences (1993); Bay Education Award, Bay Institute (1994);
5 Public Service Award, UCD (1995); Outstanding Educator Award, American Fisheries Society
6 (1995, with J. J. Cech); Streamkeeper Award, Putah Creek Council (1997); Distinguished
7 Ecologist, Colorado State University (2001); Outstanding Mentor Award, UCD (2003);
8 President's Chair in Undergraduate Education, UCD (2003-2005, with J. Mount).

9 **OTHER**

10 Editorial Boards, *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, *Biological Conservation*, and *Biological*
11 *Invasions*. Expert testimony: Bay/Delta Hearings, State Water Resources Control Board;
12 Congressional hearings, Re-authorization of Endangered Species Act, etc. Head, Delta Native
13 Fishes Recovery Team (1993-1995); Member, Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project Team (1994-
14 1996); Member, Independent Science Board, CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program; Vice
15 President, The Natural Heritage Institute; Fisheries Consultant, City and County of San
16 Francisco. Member, National Research Council Committee on Endangered Fishes in the
17 Klamath Basin (2002-2003).

18
19 **TEACHING**

20 Teach basic courses in fish biology, wildlife conservation, fisheries, watershed ecology, and
21 nature/culture. Co-authored (with J. Cech) widely used ichthyology text (5th edition, 2003) and
22 co-edited (with C. Schreck) handbook on techniques for working with fish. Active in Graduate
23 Group in Ecology (currently on Executive Committee). Steering Committee, Nature and Culture
24 Program.

25 **PUBLICATIONS**

26 Author or co-author of over 150 peer-reviewed publications, including five books/monographs.
27

1 EXHIBIT B
2 PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS
3 Peter Briggs Moyle

4 (Does not include ca. 100 non-peer-reviewed publications)

- 5
- 6 1. Moyle P.B. and J. A. Israel. 2005 Untested assumptions: effectiveness of screening
7 diversions for conservation of fish populations, *Fisheries* 30 (5):20-28.
 - 8 2. Kimmerer, W., S. R. Avent, S. M. Bollens, F. Feyrer, L. F. Grimaldo, P. B Moyle, M.
9 Nobriga, and T. Visintainer. 2005. Variability in length-weight relationships used to
10 estimate biomass of estuarine fish from survey data. *Transactions, American Fisheries*
11 *Society* 134:481-495.
 - 12 3. Schroeter, R. E. and P. B. Moyle. 2005. Alien fishes in California's marine environments.
13 *In: M. H. Horn, L.G. Allen, and D. Pondella, eds. Ecology of California Marine Fishes.*
14 Berkeley: UC Press.
 - 15 4. Brown, L. and P. B. Moyle 2004. Native Fishes of the Sacramento-San Joaquin
16 Drainage, California: a History of Decline" Pages xxx-xxx in *Historical Changes in Fish*
17 *Assemblages of Large North American Rivers*. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda.
 - 18 5. Ribeiro, F., P. K. Crain, and P. B. Moyle. 2004. Variation in condition factor and growth
19 in young-of-year fishes in floodplain and riverine habitats of the Cosumnes River,
20 California. *Hydrobiologia* 527:77-84.
 - 21 6. Marchetti, M. P., T. Light, P. B. Moyle, and J. H. Viers. 2004. Fish invasions in
22 California watersheds: testing hypotheses using landscape patterns. *Ecological*
23 *Applications* 14:1507-1525.
 - 24 7. Marchetti, M. P., P. B. Moyle, and R. Levine. 2004. Invasive species profiling: exploring
25 the characteristics of exotic fishes across invasion stages in California. *Freshwater*
26 *Biology* 49:646-661..
 - 27 8. Moyle, P.B., R. D. Baxter, T. Sommer, T. C. Foin, and S. A. Matern. 2004. Biology and
28 population dynamics of Sacramento Splittail (*Pogonichthys macrolepidotus*) in the San
29 Francisco Estuary: a review. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* [online serial]
30 2(2):1-47.
 - 31 9. Hogan, Z. S., P. B. Moyle, B. May, M. J. Vander Zander, and I. G. Baird. 2004. The
32 imperiled giants of the Mekong. *American Scientist* 92: 228-237.
 - 33 10. Marchetti, M. P. , P. B. Moyle, and R. Levine. 2004. Alien fishes in California
34 watersheds: characteristics of successful and failed invaders. *Ecological Applications*
35 14:587-596.
 - 36 11. Lewis, W. A., R. M. Adams, E.B. Cowling, E. S. Helfman, C.D.D.Howard, R. J, Huggett,
37 N. E. Langston, J. F. Mount, P. B. Moyle, T. J. Newcomb, M. L. Pace, and J. B. Ruhl.
38 2004. Endangered and threatened fishes of the Klamath River Basin: Causes of decline
39 and strategies for recovery. National Academies Press. 334 pp.
 - 40 12. Crain, P.K., K. Whitener, P.B. Moyle. 2004. Use of a restored central California
41 floodplain by larvae of native and alien fishes. Pages 125-140 in F. Feyrer, L.R. Brown,
42 R.L. Brown, and J.J. Orsi, editors. *Early life history of fishes in the San Francisco*
43 *Estuary and watershed*. American Fisheries Society Symposium 39, Bethesda, Maryland.
 - 44 13. Moyle, P. B., P. K. Crain, K. Whitener, and J. F. Mount. 2003. Alien fishes in natural
45 streams: fish distribution, assemblage structure, and conservation in the Cosumnes River,
46 California, USA. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 67:277-288.

- 1 14. Feyrer, F., B. Herbold, S.A. Matern, and P.B. Moyle. 2003. Dietary shifts in a stressed
2 fish assemblage: consequences of a bivalve invasion in the San Francisco Estuary.
3 *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 67:277-288.
- 4 15. Matern, S. A., P. B. Moyle, and L. C. Pierce. 2002. Native and alien fishes in a California
5 estuarine marsh: twenty-one years of changing assemblages. *Transactions of the*
6 *American Fisheries Society* 131:797-816.
- 7 16. Moyle, P. B. 2002. *Inland Fishes of California. Revised and expanded*. Berkeley:
8 University of California Press. 502 pp.
- 9 17. Chasnoff, B. and P. B. Moyle. 2001. Ethics, ecology, and economics in river
10 management: the benefits of working together. Pages 157-176 in C. K. Davis and R. E.
11 McGinn, editors. *Navigating rough waters: ethical issues in the water industry*. Denver,
12 Colorado, American Waterworks Association.
- 13 18. Sweetnam, D. S., R. D. Baxter, and P. B. Moyle. 2001. True smelts. Pages 472-479 in
14 W. S. Leet, C. M. Dewees, R. Klingbeil, and E. J. Larson, eds. *California's living marine*
15 *resources: a status report*. Sacramento: California Department of Fish and Game.
- 16 19. Yoshiyama, R. M., E. R. Gerstung, F. W. Fisher, and P. B. Moyle. 2001. Historical and
17 present distribution of chinook salmon in the Central Valley. Pages 71-176 in R. Brown,
18 ed. *Contributions to the biology of Central Valley salmonids*. CDFG Fish Bulletin 179.
- 19 20. Baird, I., Z. Hogan, B. Phylaivanh, and P. B. Moyle. 2001. A communal fishery for the
20 migratory catfish *Pangasius macronema* in the Mekong River. *Asian Fisheries Science*
21 14: 25-41.
- 22 21. Sommer, T. R., W. C. Harrell, M. Nobriga, R. Brown, P. B. Moyle, W. J. Kimmerer and
23 L. Schemel. 2001. California's Yolo Bypass: evidence that flood control can be
24 compatible with fish, wetlands, wildlife and agriculture. *Fisheries* 58(2):325-333.
- 25 22. Moyle, P. B., and L. H. Davis. 2001. A list of freshwater, anadromous, and euryhaline
26 fishes of California. *California Fish and Game* 86:244-258.
- 27 23. Marchetti, M. P., T. Light, J. Feliciano, T. Armstrong, Z. Hogan, and P. B. Moyle. 2001.
28 Homogenization of California's fish fauna through abiotic change. Pages 269-288 in J.L.
29 Lockwood and M.L. McKinney, editors. *Biotic Homogenization*. Kluwer/Academic
30 Press, New York.
- 31 24. Marchetti, M. P., and P. B. Moyle. 2001. Effects of flow regime on fish assemblages in
32 a regulated California stream. *Ecological Applications* 11:530-539.
- 33 25. Marchetti, M. P., and P. B. Moyle. 2000. Spatial and temporal ecology of native and
34 introduced fish larvae in lower Putah Creek, California. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*
35 58:75-87.
- 36 26. Moyle, P. B. 2000. Restoring aquatic ecosystems is a matter of values. *California*
37 *Agriculture* 54(2):16-25.
- 38 27. Yoshiyama, R. M., E. R. Gerstung, F. W. Fisher, and P. B. Moyle. 2000. Chinook
39 salmon in California's Central Valley: an assessment. *Fisheries* 25(2):6-20.
- 40 28. Li, H. W., and P. B. Moyle. 1999. Management of introduced fishes. Pages 345-374 in
41 C. C. Kohler and W. A. Hurbert, eds., *Inland Fisheries Management*, 2nd edition.
42 American Fisheries Soc., Washington D.C.
- 43 29. Parker, I. M., D. Simberloff, W. M. Lonsdale, K. Goodell, M. Wonham, P. M. Kareiva,
44 M. H. Williamson, B. von Holle, P. B. Moyle, J. E. Byers, and L. Goldwasser. 1999.
45 Impact: toward a framework for understanding the ecological effects of invaders.
46 *Biological Invasions* 1:3-19.

- 1 30. Moyle, P. B., and P. J. Randall. 1998. Evaluating the biotic integrity of watersheds in
2 the Sierra Nevada, California. *Conservation Biology* 12:1318-1326.
- 3 31. Moyle, P. B., and J. J. Smith. 1998. Freshwater fishes of the Central California Coast.
4 Pages 17-22 in N. Chiariello and R. F. Dasmann, eds. *Symposium on biodiversity of the*
5 *Central California Coast*. Association for the Golden Gate Biosphere Reserve, San
6 Francisco.
- 7 32. Moyle, P. B., and M. P. Marchetti. 1999. Applications of indices of biotic integrity to
8 California streams and watersheds. Pages 367-380 in T. P. Simon and R. Hughes, editors.
9 *Assessing the sustainability and biological integrity of water resources using fish*
10 *communities*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fl.
- 11 33. Moyle, P. B. 1999. Effects of invading species on freshwater and estuarine ecosystems.
12 Pages 177-191 in Sandlund, O.T., P.J. Schei & C. Viken, eds. *Invasive species and*
13 *biodiversity management*. Kluwer, Leiden.
- 14 34. Yoshiyama, R. M., F. W. Fisher, and P. B. Moyle. 1998. Historical abundance and
15 decline of chinook salmon in the Central Valley region of California. *North American*
16 *Journal of Fisheries Management* 18: 487-521.
- 17 35. Moyle, P. B., M. P. Marchetti, J. Baldrige, and T. L. Taylor. 1998. Fish health and
18 diversity: justifying flows for a California stream. *Fisheries (Bethesda)* 23(7):6-15.
- 19 36. Healey, M., W. Kimmerer, G. M. Kondolf, R. Meade, P. B. Moyle, and R. Twiss. 1998.
20 Strategic plan for the Ecosystem Restoration Program. CALFED Bay-Delta Program,
21 Sacramento. 252 pp.
- 22 37. Trenham, P. C., H. B. Shaffer, and P. B. Moyle. 1998. Biochemical identification and
23 assessment of population subdivision in morphologically similar native and invading
24 smelt species (*Hypomesus*) in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary, California.
25 *Transactions, American Fisheries Society* 127: 417-424.
- 26 38. Leidy, R. A., and P. B. Moyle. 1997. Conservation status of the world's fish fauna: an
27 overview. Pp.187-227 In P. A. Fiedler and P. M. Karieva, eds. *Conservation biology for*
28 *the coming decade*. Chapman and Hall, N.Y.
- 29 39. Moyle, P. B., and R. M. Yoshiyama. 1997. The role of adaptive management in restoring
30 chinook salmon to the Tuolumne River. Pages 557-562 in S. Y. Wang and T. Carstens,
31 eds. *Environmental and coastal hydraulics: protecting the aquatic habitat*. New York:
32 ASCE.
- 33 40. Brown, L. R., and P. B. Moyle. 1997. Invading species in the Eel River, California:
34 successes, failures, and relationships with resident species. *Environmental Biology of*
35 *Fishes* 49: 271-291.
- 36 41. Moyle, P. B., R. Pine, L. R. Brown, C. H. Hanson, B. Herbold, K. M. Lentz, L. Meng, J.
37 J. Smith, D. A. Sweetnam, and L. Winternitz. 1996. Recovery plan for the Sacramento-
38 San Joaquin Delta native fishes. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, Oregon. 193 pp.
- 39 42. Bennett, W.A., and P. B. Moyle. 1996. Where have all the fishes gone: interactive
40 factors producing fish declines in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary. Pages 519-542 in
41 J. T. Hollibaugh, ed. *San Francisco Bay: the Ecosystem*. San Francisco: AAAS, Pacific
42 Division.
- 43 43. Moyle, P. B., and T. Light. 1996. Biological invasions of fresh water: empirical rules
44 and assembly theory. *Biological Conservation* 78:149-162.
- 45 44. Moyle, P. B., P. J. Randall, and R. M. Yoshiyama. 1996. Potential aquatic diversity
46 management areas of the Sierra Nevada. Pages 409-478 in Sierra Nevada Ecosystem
47 Project: Final report to Congress , Vol. III, assessments, commissioned reports, and

- 1 background information. Davis: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland
2 Resources.
- 3 45. Yoshiyama, R. M., E. R. Gerstung, F. W. Fisher, and P. B. Moyle. 1996. Historical and
4 present distribution of chinook salmon in the Central Valley drainage of California Pages
5 309-362 *in* Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress , Vol. III,
6 assessments, commissioned reports, and background information. Davis: University of
7 California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources.
- 8 46. Moyle, P. B., R. Kattlemann, R. Zomer, and P. J. Randall. 1996. Management of
9 riparian areas in the Sierra Pages 1-37 *in* Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report
10 to Congress , Vol. III, assessments, commissioned reports, and background information.
11 Davis: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources
- 12 47. Moyle, P. B. 1996. Potential aquatic diversity management areas. Pages 1493-1503. *In*
13 Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress , Vol. II, assessments,
14 commissioned reports, and background information. Davis: University of California,
15 Centers for Water and Wildland Resources.
- 16 48. Moyle, P. B., and P. J. Randall. 1996. Biotic integrity of watersheds. Pages 975-985 *In*
17 Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress , Vol. II, assessments,
18 commissioned reports, and background information. Davis: University of California,
19 Centers for Water and Wildland Resources.
- 20 49. Moyle, P. B., R. M. Yoshiyama, and R. A. Knapp. 1996. Status of fish and fisheries.
21 Pages 953-973 *In* Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress , Vol. II,
22 assessments, commissioned reports, and background information. Davis: University of
23 California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources.
- 24 50. Moyle, P. B. 1996. Status of aquatic habitat types. Pages 945-952. *In* Sierra Nevada
25 Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress , Vol. II, assessments, commissioned reports,
26 and background information. Davis: University of California, Centers for Water and
27 Wildland Resources.
- 28 51. Moyle, P. B., and T. Light. 1996. Fish invasions in California: do abiotic factors
29 determine success? *Ecology* 77:1666-1670.
- 30 52. Courtenay, W. R., Jr. and P. B. Moyle. 1996. Biodiversity, fishes, and the introduction
31 paradigm. Pages 239-252 in R. C. Szaro and D. W. Johnston, eds. Biodiversity in
32 managed landscapes. Oxford University Press: N.Y.
- 33 53. Moyle, P. B. and J. J. Cech, Jr. 1996. Fishes: an Introduction to Ichthyology. 3rd
34 Edition. Prentice-Hall: Upper Saddle River, N. J. 590 pp. (4th edition, 2000).
- 35 54. Meng, L., and P. B. Moyle. 1995. Status of splittail in the Sacramento-San Joaquin
36 estuary. *Transactions of American Fisheries Society* 124:538-549.
- 37 55. Stanley, S. E., P. B. Moyle, and H. B. Shaffer. 1995. Allozyme analysis of delta smelt,
38 *Hypomesus transpacificus* and longfin smelt, *Spirinchus thalichthys*, in the Sacramento-
39 San Joaquin estuary, California. *Copeia* 1995:390-396.
- 40 56. Marchetti, M. P., and P. B. Moyle. 1995. Conflicting values complicate stream
41 protection. *California Agriculture* 49(6):73-78.
- 42 57. Moyle, P. B. 1995. The decline of anadromous fishes in California. *Conservation*
43 *Biology* 8: 869-870
- 44 58. Moyle, P. B., R. M. Yoshiyama, J. E. Williams, and E. D. Wikramanayake. 1995. Fish
45 species of special concern of California. California Department of Fish and Game,
46 Sacramento, California. 2nd ed. 272 pp.

- 1 59. Brown, L. R., S. A. Matern, and P. B. Moyle. 1995. Comparative ecology of prickly
2 sculpin, *Cottus asper*, and coastrange sculpin, *C. aleuticus*, in the Eel River, California.
3 Environmental Biology of Fishes 42:329-343.
- 4 60. Moyle, P. B. 1995. Conservation of native freshwater fishes in the Mediterranean type
5 climate of California, USA: a review. Biological Conservation 72: 271-280.
- 6 61. Meng, L., P. B. Moyle, and B. Herbold. 1994. Changes in abundance and distribution
7 of native and introduced fishes of Suisun Marsh. Transactions of the American Fisheries
8 Society 123:498-507.
- 9 62. Brown, L. R., P. B. Moyle, and R. M. Yoshiyama. 1994. Status of coho salmon
10 (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) in California. North American Journal of Fisheries Management
11 14: 237-261.
- 12 63. Moyle, P. B. 1994. Biodiversity, biomonitoring, and the structure of stream fish
13 communities. Pages 171-186 In S. Loeb and A. Spacie (Editors), Biological Monitoring
14 of Freshwater Ecosystems. Lewis Publishing, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida.
- 15 64. Moyle, P. B., and R. M. Yoshiyama. 1994. Protection of aquatic biodiversity in
16 California: A five-tiered approach. Fisheries 19:6-18.
- 17 65. Brown, L. R., and P. B. Moyle. 1993. Distribution, ecology, and status of the fishes of
18 the San Joaquin River drainage, California. California Fish and Game 79:96-113
- 19 66. Li, H. W., and P. B. Moyle. 1993. Management of introduced fishes. Pp. 282-307. In
20 C. Kohler and W. Hubert (Editors), Inland Fisheries Management in North America.
21 American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 22 67. Baltz, D. M., and P. B. Moyle. 1993. Invasion resistance to introduced species by a
23 native assemblage of California stream fishes. Ecological Applications 3:246-255.
- 24 68. Moyle, P. B. 1993. Fish: An Enthusiast's Guide. University of California Press,
25 Berkeley, California. 272 pp.
- 26 69. Moyle, P. B., and R. M. Yoshiyama. 1992. Fishes, aquatic diversity management areas
27 and endangered species: A plan to protect California's native aquatic biota. California
28 Policy Seminar, Berkeley. 222 pp.
- 29 70. Brown, L. R., and P. B. Moyle. 1992. Native fishes of the San Joaquin drainage: Status
30 of a remnant fauna and its habitats. Pp. 89-98. In D. L. Williams, S. Byrne, and T. A.
31 Rado (Editors), Endangered and Sensitive Species of the San Joaquin Valley, California.
32 California Energy Commission, Sacramento, California.
- 33 71. Moyle, P. B. 1992. True smelts. Pp. 75-78. In W. S. Leet, C. M. Dewees, and C. W.
34 Havern (Editors), California Living Marine Resources and Their Utilization. UC Sea
35 Grant Extension Publication UCSGEP-92-12.
- 36 72. Courtenay, W. R., and P. B. Moyle. 1992. Crimes against biodiversity: The lasting
37 legacy of fish introductions. Transactions of the 57th North American Wildlife and
38 Natural Resource Conference. Pp. 365-372.
- 39 73. Mathias, M. E., and P. B. Moyle. 1992. Wetland and aquatic habitats. Agricultural
40 Ecosystems and Environments 42:165-176.
- 41 74. Strange, E. M., P. B. Moyle, and T. C. Foin. 1992. Interactions between stochastic and
42 deterministic processes in stream fish community assembly. Environmental Biology of
43 Fishes 36:1-15.
- 44 75. Brown, L. R., P. B. Moyle, W. A. Bennett, B. D. Quelvog. 1992. Implications of
45 morphological variation among populations of California roach *Lavinia symmetricus*
46 (Cyprinidae) for conservation policy. Biological Conservation 62:1-10.

- 1 76. Kershner, J. L., W. M. Snider, D. M. Turner, and P. B. Moyle. 1992. Distribution and
2 sequencing of mesohabitats: Are there differences at the reach scale? *Rivers* 3:179-190.
- 3 77. Herbold, B., A. D. Jassby, and P. B. Moyle. 1992. Status and trends report on aquatic
4 resources in the San Francisco Estuary. San Francisco Estuary Project. 257 pp.
- 5 78. Moyle, P. B., B. Herbold, D. E. Stevens, and L. W. Miller. 1992. Life history and status
6 of Delta smelt in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary, California. *Transactions of the*
7 *American Fisheries Society* 121:67-77.
- 8 79. Moyle, P. B., and R. A. Leidy. 1992. Loss of biodiversity in aquatic ecosystems:
9 Evidence from fish faunas. Pp. 128-169. *In* P. L. Fiedler and S. A. Jain (Editors),
10 *Conservation Biology: The Theory and Practice of Nature Conservation, Preservation,*
11 *and Management.* Chapman and Hall, New York. .
- 12 80. Campbell, E. A., and P. B. Moyle. 1991. Historical and recent population sizes of
13 spring-run chinook salmon in California. Pp. 155-216. *In* T. Hassler (Editor),
14 *Proceedings, Northeast Pacific Chinook and Coho Salmon Workshop.* Arcata,
15 California. American Fisheries Society.
- 16 81. Moyle, P. B., and J. Ellison. 1991. A conservation-oriented classification system for
17 California's inland waters. *California Fish and Game* 77:161-180.
- 18 82. Moyle, P. B., T. Kennedy, D. Kuda, L. Martin, and G. Grant. 1991. Fishes of Bly
19 Tunnel, Lassen County, California. *Great Basin Naturalist* 51:267-270.
- 20 83. Moyle, P. B., and G. M. Sato. 1991. On the design of preserves to protect native fishes.
21 Pp. 155-169. *In* W. L. Minckley and J. E. Deacon (Editors), *Battle Against Extinction:*
22 *Native Fish Management in the American West.* University of Arizona Press.
- 23 84. Brown, L. R., and P. B. Moyle. 1991. Changes in habitat and microhabitat partitioning
24 within an assemblage of stream fishes in response to predation by Sacramento squawfish
25 (*Ptychocheilus grandis*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 43:849-
26 856.
- 27 85. Baltz, D. M., B. Vondracek, L. R. Brown, and P. B. Moyle. 1991. Seasonal changes in
28 microhabitat selection by rainbow trout in a small stream. *Transactions of the American*
29 *Fisheries Society* 120:166-176.
- 30 86. Moyle, P. B., and M. A. Moyle. 1991. Introduction to fish imagery in art.
31 *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 31:5-23.
- 32 87. Moyle, P. B., and J. E. Williams. 1990. Biodiversity loss in the temperate zone: decline
33 of the native fish fauna of California. *Conservation Biology* 4(3):275-284.
- 34 88. Schreck, C. B., and P. B. Moyle (Editors). 1990. *Methods for Fish Biology.* American
35 Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland. 684 pp.
- 36 89. Moyle, P. B., J. E. Williams, and E. D. Wikramanayake. 1989. Fish species of special
37 concern of California. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.
38 222 pp.
- 39 90. Herbold, B., and P. B. Moyle. 1989. Ecology of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta: A
40 community profile. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 85(7.22)
41 September. 106 pp.
- 42 91. Wikramanayake, E. D., and P. B. Moyle. 1989. Ecological structure of tropical fish
43 assemblages in wet-zone streams of Sri Lanka. *Journal of Zoology, London, England*
44 218:503-526.
- 45 92. Miller, D. L., P. M. Leonard, R. M. Hughes, J. R. Karr, P. B. Moyle, L. H. Schrader, B.
46 A. Thompson, R. A. Daniels, K. D. Fausch, G. A. Fitzhugh, J. R. Gammon, D. B.

- 1 Halliwell, P. L. Angermeier, and D. J. Orth. 1988. Regional applications of an index of
2 biotic integrity for use in water resource management. *Fisheries* (Bethesda) 13 (5):12-20.
- 3 93. Power, M. E., R. J. Stout, C. E. Cushing, P. P. Harper, F. R. Hauer, W. J. Matthews, P. B.
4 Moyle, B. Statzner, and I. De Bagen. 1988. Biotic and abiotic controls in river and
5 stream communities. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 7:456-479.
- 6 94. Vondracek, B., D. M. Baltz, L. R. Brown, and P. B. Moyle. 1988. Spatial, seasonal, and
7 diel distribution of fishes in a California reservoir dominated by native fishes. *Fisheries*
8 *Research* 7:31-53.
- 9 95. Moyle, P. B. 1987. Review of C. H. Hocutt and E. O. Wiley, *The Zoogeography of*
10 *North American Freshwater Fishes*. *Aquaculture* 62:171-172.
- 11 96. Baltz, D. M., B. Vondracek, L. R. Brown, and P. B. Moyle. 1987. Influence of
12 temperature on microhabitat choice by fishes in a California stream. *Transactions of the*
13 *American Fisheries Society* 116:12-20.
- 14 97. Moyle, P. B., and B. Herbold. 1987. Life-history patterns and community structure in
15 stream fishes of western North America: Comparisons with eastern North America and
16 Europe. Pp. 25-32. *In* W. J. Matthews and D. C. Heins (Editors), *Community and*
17 *Evolutionary Ecology of North American Stream Fishes*. University of Oklahoma Press,
18 Norman, Oklahoma.
- 19 98. Herbold, B., and P. B. Moyle. 1986. Introduced species and vacant niches. *American*
20 *Naturalist* 128:751-760.
- 21 99. Moyle, P. B., H. W. Li, and B. A. Barton. 1986. The Frankenstein effect: impact of
22 introduced fishes on native fishes in North America. Pp. 415-426. *In* R. H. Stroud
23 (Editor), *Fish Culture in Fisheries Management*. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda,
24 Maryland.
- 25 100. Jett, S., and P. B. Moyle. 1986. The exotic origins of fishes depicted on prehistoric
26 Mimbres pottery from New Mexico. *American Antiquity* 51:688-720.
- 27 101. Moyle, P. B. 1986. Fish introductions into North America: Patterns and ecological
28 impact. Pp. 27-43. *In* H. A. Mooney and J. A. Drake (Editors), *Ecology of Biological*
29 *Invasions of North America and Hawaii*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 30 102. Moyle, P. B., R. A. Daniels, B. Herbold, and D. M. Baltz. 1986. Patterns in distribution
31 and abundance of a non-coevolved assemblage of estuarine fishes in California. *Fishery*
32 *Bulletin* 84:105-117.
- 33 103. Moyle, P. B., and D. M. Baltz. 1985. Microhabitat use by an assemblage of California
34 stream fishes: Developing criteria for instream flow determinations. *Transactions*
35 *American Fisheries Society* 114:695-704.
- 36 104. Grossman, G. D., M. C. Freeman, P. B. Moyle, and J. O. Whitaker. 1985. Stochasticity
37 and assemblage organization in an Indiana stream fish assemblage. *American Naturalist*
38 126:275-285.
- 39 105. Moyle, P. B., and B. Vondracek. 1985. Persistence and structure of the fish assemblage
40 in a small California stream. *Ecology* 66:1-13.
- 41 106. Daniels, R. A., and P. B. Moyle. 1984. Geographic variation and a taxonomic
42 reappraisal of the marbled sculpin, *Cottus klamathensis*. *Copeia* 1984:949-959.
- 43 107. Moyle, P. B., and F. R. Senanayake. 1984. Resource partitioning among the fishes of
44 rainforest streams in Sri Lanka. *Journal of Zoology, London, England* 202:195-223.
- 45 108. Baltz, D. M., and P. B. Moyle. 1984. Segregation by species and size classes of rainbow
46 trout, *Salmo gairdneri*, and Sacramento sucker, *Catostomus occidentalis*, in three
47 California streams. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 10:101-110.

- 1 109. Moyle, P. B. 1983. Use of intermittent streams by California fishes. Pp. 61-65. In
2 S. Jain and P. Moyle (Editors), Proceedings of Second Symposium on Vernal Pools and
3 Intermittent Streams. Institute of Ecology Publication 28, University of California,
4 Davis, California.
- 5 110. Cech, J. J., Jr., and P. B. Moyle. 1983. Alternative fish species as predators for rice field
6 mosquitos in California. *Bulletin of the Society of Vector Ecologists* 8:107-110.
- 7 111. Moyle, P. B., B. Herbold, and R. A. Daniels. 1983. Resource partitioning in a non-
8 coevolved assemblage of estuarine fishes. Pp. 178-184. In G. M. Caillet and C. A.
9 Simenstad (Editors), Proceedings of the Third Pacific Workshop on Fish Food Habit
10 Studies. Washington Sea Grant.
- 11 112. Moyle, P. B., and D. M. Baltz. 1983. Fish populations of Eleanor Reservoir, Yosemite
12 National Park. Pp. 183-186. In C. Van Riper, L. D. Whittig, and M. L. Murphey
13 (Editors), Proceedings of the First Biennial Conference on Research in California's
14 National Parks.
- 15 113. Daniels, R. A., and P. B. Moyle. 1983. Life history of splittail (Cyprinidae:
16 *Pogonichthys macrolepidotus*) in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. *Fishery Bulletin*
17 81:647-654.
- 18 114. Moyle, P. B., B. Vondracek, and G. D. Grossman. 1983. Responses of fish populations
19 in the North Fork of the Feather River, California, to treatments with fish toxicants.
20 *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 3:48-60.
- 21 115. Baltz, D. M., and P. B. Moyle. 1982. The influence of riparian vegetation on stream fish
22 communities of California. Pp. 183-187. In P. Warner and H. Hendrix (Editors),
23 *California Riparian Systems*. University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- 24 116. Baltz, D. M., P. B. Moyle, and N. J. Knight. 1982. Competitive interactions between
25 benthic stream fishes, riffle sculpin, *Cottus gulosus*, and speckled dace, *Rhinichthys*
26 *osculus*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 39:1502-1511.
- 27 117. Grossman, G. D., P. B. Moyle, and J. O. Whitaker, Jr. 1982. Stochasticity in structural
28 and functional characteristics of an Indiana stream fish assemblage: A test of community
29 theory. *American Naturalist* 120:423-454.
- 30 118. Baltz, D. M., and P. B. Moyle. 1982. Life history characteristics of tule perch
31 (*Hysterocarpus traski*) populations in contrasting environments. *Environmental Biology*
32 *of Fishes* 7:229-242.
- 33 119. Moyle, P. B., and J. J. Cech, Jr. 1982. *Fishes: An Introduction to Ichthyology*, (2nd
34 Edition, 1988). Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. 593 pp.
- 35 120. Moyle, P. B., J. J. Smith, R. A. Daniels, and D. M. Baltz. 1982. A Review. Pp. 255-
36 256. In P. B. Moyle (Editor), *Distribution and Ecology of Stream Fishes of the*
37 *Sacramento-San Joaquin Drainage System, California*. Publications in Zoology 115,
38 University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- 39 121. Taylor, T. L., P. B. Moyle, and D. G. Price. 1982. Fishes of the Clear Lake Basin.
40 Pp. 171-223. In P. B. Moyle (Editor), *Distribution and Ecology of Stream Fishes of the*
41 *Sacramento-San Joaquin Drainage System, California*. Publications in Zoology 115,
42 University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- 43 122. Moyle, P. B., and R. A. Daniels. 1982. Fishes of the Pit River System, McCloud River
44 System, and Surprise Valley Region. Pp. 1-82. In P. B. Moyle (Editor), *Distribution and*
45 *Ecology of Stream Fishes of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Drainage System, California*.
46 Publications in Zoology 115, University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- 47 123. Senanayake, F. R., and P. B. Moyle. 1982. Conservation of freshwater fishes of Sri
48 Lanka. *Biological Conservation* 22:181-195.

- 1 124. Baltz, D. M., and P. B. Moyle. 1981. Morphometric analysis of tule perch
2 (*Hysterocarpus traski*) populations in three isolated drainages. *Copeia* 1981:305-311.
- 3 125. Li, H. W., and P. B. Moyle. 1981. Ecological analysis of species introductions into
4 aquatic systems. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 110:772-782.
- 5 126. Brown, L. R., and P. B. Moyle. 1981. The impact of squawfish on salmonid
6 populations: A review. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 1:104-111.
- 7 127. Moyle, P. B., and M. Massingill. 1981. Hybridization between hitch, *Lavinia*
8 *exilicauda*, and Sacramento blackfish, *Orthodon microlepidotus*, in San Luis Reservoir,
9 California. *California Fish and Game* 67:196-198.
- 10 128. Moyle, P. B. 1980. Sixteen species accounts of California endemic fishes. Pp. 123, 164,
11 199, 200, 209, 345, 346, 347, 384, 385, 391, 582, 777, 803, 815, 819. *In* Atlas of North
12 American Freshwater Fishes, D. S. Lee, et al. (Editors). North Carolina Museum of
13 Natural History, Raleigh, North Carolina.
- 14 129. Geary, R. E., and P. B. Moyle. 1980. Aspects of the ecology of the hitch, *Lavinia*
15 *exilicauda* (Cyprinidae), a persistent native cyprinid in Clear Lake, California. *The*
16 *Southwestern Naturalist* 25:385-390.
- 17 130. Grossman, G. D., R. Coffin, and P. B. Moyle. 1980. Feeding ecology of the bay goby
18 (Pisces: Gobiidae): Effects on behavioral, ontogenetic, and temporal variation on diet.
19 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 44:47-59.
- 20 131. Moyle, P. B., R. E. Andrews, R. M. Jenkins, R. L. Noble, S. B. Saila, and W. O. Wick.
21 1979. Research needs in fisheries. *Transactions of the 44th North American Wildlife*
22 *and Natural Resources Conference*: 176-187.
- 23 132. Moyle, P. B., and H. W. Li. 1979. Community ecology and predator-prey relationships
24 in warmwater streams. Pp. 171-180. *In* H. W. Clepper (Editor), *Predator-Prey Systems*
25 *in Fisheries Management*. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington D.C.
- 26 133. Sturgess, J. A., and P. B. Moyle. 1978. Biology of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*),
27 brown trout (*S. trutta*), and interior Dolly Varden (*Salvelinus confluentus*) in the
28 McCloud River, California, in relation to management. *Cal-Neva Wildlife* 1978:239-
29 250.
- 30 134. Broadway, J. E., and P. B. Moyle. 1978. Aspects of the ecology of the prickly sculpin,
31 *Cottus asper* Richardson, a persistent native species in Clear Lake, Lake County,
32 California. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 3:337-343.
- 33 135. Daniels, R. A., and P. B. Moyle. 1978. Biology, distribution, and status of the rough
34 sculpin, *Cottus asperrimus*, in the Pit River drainage, northeastern California. *Copeia*
35 1978:673-679.
- 36 136. Moyle, P. B., and N. J. Holzhauser. 1978. Effects of the introduction of Mississippi
37 silverside (*Menidia audens*) and Florida largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*
38 *floridanus*) on the feeding habits of young-of-year largemouth bass in Clear Lake,
39 California. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 107:575-582.
- 40 137. Tippetts, W. E., and P. B. Moyle. 1978. Epibenthic feeding by rainbow trout (*Salmo*
41 *gairdneri*) in the McCloud River, California. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 47:549-559.
- 42 138. Moyle, P. B. 1977. In defense of sculpins. *Fisheries* 2(1):20-23.
- 43 139. Alley, D. W., D. H. Dettman, H. W. Li, and P. B. Moyle. 1977. Habitats of native fishes
44 in the Sacramento River basin. Pp. 87-94. *In* A. Sands (Editor), *Riparian forests of*
45 *California, their ecology and conservation*. Institute of Ecology Publication #15,
46 University of California.

- 1 140. Li, H. W., and P. B. Moyle. 1976. Feeding ecology of the Pit sculpin, *Cottus pitensis*, in
2 Ash Creek, California. *Bulletin of Southern California Academy of Sciences* 75:111-
3 118. (Carl Hubbs Honorary Issue.)
- 4 141. Li, H. W., P. B. Moyle, and R. L. Garrett. 1976. Effects of the introduction of the
5 Mississippi silverside (*Menidia audens*) on the growth of black crappie (*Pomoxis*
6 *nigromaculatus*) and white crappie (*P. annularis*) in Clear Lake, California. *Transactions*
7 *of the American Fisheries Society* 105:404-408.
- 8 142. Moyle, P. B. 1976. Some effects of channelization on the fishes and invertebrates of
9 Rush Creek, Modoc County, California. *California Fish and Game* 62:179-186.
- 10 143. Moyle, P. B. 1976. *Inland Fishes of California*. University of California Press,
11 Berkeley, California. 405 pp.
- 12 144. Moyle, P. B. 1976. Fish introductions in California: history and impact on native fishes.
13 *Biological Conservation* 9:101-118.
- 14 145. Prine, J. E., G. E. Lawley, and P. B. Moyle. 1975. A multidisciplinary approach to
15 vector ecology at Clear Lake, California. *Bulletin of the Society of Vector Ecologists*
16 2:21-31.
- 17 146. Adams, J. R., and P. B. Moyle. 1975. Some effects of impoundments on populations of
18 stream fish. Pp. 31-40. In P. B. Moyle and D. L. Koch (Editors), *Symposium on*
19 *Trout/Nongame Fish Relationships in Streams*. University of Nevada Center for Water
20 Resources Miscellaneous Publication 17:31-40.
- 21 147. Moyle, P. B. 1975. California trout streams: The way they were, probably. Pp. 9-19.
22 In P. B. Moyle and D. L. Koch (Editors), *Symposium on Trout/Nongame Fish*
23 *Relationships in Streams*. University of Nevada Center for Water Resources
24 Miscellaneous Publication 17:9-19.
- 25 148. Moyle, P. B., and A. Marciochi. 1975. Biology of the Modoc sucker, *Catostomus*
26 *microps*, in northeastern California. *Copeia* 1975:556-560.
- 27 149. Moyle, P. B. 1974. Status of the Modoc sucker (*Catostomus microps*, Pisces:
28 Catostomidae). *Cal-Neva Wildlife* 1974:35-38.
- 29 150. Moyle, P. B., F. Fisher, and H. W. Li. 1974. Mississippi silversides and logperch in the
30 Sacramento-San Joaquin River system. *California Fish and Game* 60:144-149.
- 31 151. Moyle, P. B., and R. Nichols. 1974. Decline of the native fish fauna of the Sierra
32 Nevada foothills, central California. *The American Midland Naturalist* 92(1):72-83.
- 33 152. Moyle, P. B., S. B. Mathews, and N. Bonderson. 1974. Feeding habits of the
34 Sacramento perch, *Archoplites interruptus*. *Transactions of the American Fisheries*
35 *Society* 103:399-402.
- 36 153. Moyle, P. B. 1973. Recent changes in the fish fauna of the San Joaquin River system.
37 *Cal-Neva Wildlife* 1973:60-63.
- 38 154. Moyle, P. B. 1973. Ecological segregation among three species of minnows
39 (Cyprinidae) in a Minnesota lake. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*
40 102:794-805.
- 41 155. Moyle, P. B., and R. Nichols. 1973. Ecology of some native and introduced fishes of
42 the Sierra Nevada foothills in central California. *Copeia* 1973(3):478-490.
- 43 156. Moyle, P. B. 1973. Effects of introduced bullfrogs, *Rana catesbeiana*, on the native
44 frogs of the San Joaquin Valley, California. *Copeia* 1973(1):18-22.
- 45 157. Kottcamp, G., and P. B. Moyle. 1972. Use of disposable beverage cans by fish in the
46 San Joaquin Valley. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 101:566.

- 1 158. Moyle, P. B. 1970. Occurrence of king (chinook) salmon in the Kings River, Fresno
2 County. California Fish and Game 56:314-315.
- 3 159. Moyle, P. B., and J. Bacon. 1969. Distribution and abundance of molluscs in a
4 freshwater environment. Journal of the Minnesota Academy of Science 35:82-85.
- 5 160. Moyle, P. B. 1969. Comparative behavior of young brook trout of domestic and wild
6 origin. The Progressive Fish-Culturist 31:51-56.
- 7 161. Moyle, P. B. 1966. Feeding behavior of the glaucous-winged gull on an Alaskan salmon
8 stream. Wilson Bulletin 78:175-190.
- 9 162. Moyle, P. B. 1964. Populations of peritrichs on the pond snail, *Physa gyrina* Say.
10 Journal of the Minnesota Academy of Science 31:125-130.

11

12